

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 FRANK J. RIEBLI (CSBN 221152)
Assistant United States Attorney
5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
6 Telephone: (415) 436-6935
FAX: (415) 436-7234
7 Frank.Riebli@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. CR 13-0041 RS
)	
14 Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND
)	PROPOSED
15 v.)	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
)	
16 ALFREDO CONTRERAS,)	Date: [HEARING DATE]
)	Time: [HEARING TIME]
17 Defendant.)	
<hr/>		

18
19 On March 12, 2013, at 2:30 p.m., defendant Alfredo Contreras made his first appearance before
20 the District Court through counsel. The parties agreed to return for a status hearing on March 26, 2013
21 at 2:30 p.m. Immediately prior to the hearing, the government provided Mr. Contreras's attorney
22 additional discovery. The parties agree that Mr. Contreras's counsel requires time to review the
23 discovery. Therefore, the parties agreed that time should be excluded between March 12, 2013 and
24 March 26, 2013 in order to ensure the effective preparation of counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 13-0041 RS

1 The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of
2 the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

3 SO STIPULATED.

4 DATED: March 12, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

5 MELINDA HAAG
6 United States Attorney

7 /s/

8 FRANK J. RIEBLI
9 Assistant United States Attorney

10 DATED: March 12, 2013

11 /s/

12 STEVEN KALAR
13 Attorney for ALFREDO CONTRERAS

14 For the reasons stated above, the Court orders that this matter be continued to **2:30 p.m.** on
15 **March 26, 2013**, in **Courtroom 3**. The Court finds that the exclusion of time from March 12, 2013
16 through and including March 26, 2013 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the
17 continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §
18 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective
19 preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

20 SO ORDERED.

21 DATED: March 13, 2013.



22 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
23 United States District Court Judge
24
25
26
27
28